



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/912,315		07/26/2001	Hideo Kobayashi	110207	4956
25944	7590	04/05/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & B		OGE, PLC	NGUYEN, KEVIN M		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2674	10
				DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/912,315	KOBAYASHI ET AL.					
<i>,</i>	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin M. Nguyen	2674					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:							
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections:	ion(s)·						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	-	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. □ Other:							
	XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER	Kevin M. Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2674					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/912,315

Art Unit: 2674

Continue of 5. does not place the application in condition for allowance because: the reasons cited in the Final office action. Kohashi replied upon for teaching the ordinary EL fluorescent material such as ZnS, the specific <u>resistance</u> of the dielectric medium for effective control of the waveform is of the order of 10^7 to 10^{10} Ω -cm. Further essential requirements are; that the dielectric medium is not deteriorated by the high temperature originated from ohmic loss in the high sensitivity operation; that the <u>voltage</u> vs. current characteristics is as <u>ohmic</u> as possible (col. 7, lines 12-23).